IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS June 11, 2019

IN RE:			
	Debtor(s)	Ca	se No.
VS	Plaintiff(s)	Ad	versary No.
	Defendant(s).	
1.	Report of Parties' Planning Meeting (Held pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)) Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a Parties Planning Meeting was held on (date) and was attended by: Name Address and Phone Party represented		
	a. b. c. d.		<u> </u>
2.	Nature of Complaint or Matter: (e.g. Adversary proceeding objecting to discharge, motion for relief from stay, etc.)		
3.	Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The parties [choose one] [have exchanged] [will exchange] the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) on or before .		

¹ On December 1, 2015, Rule 26(b)(1) regarding Discovery Scope and Limits was amended. This court requires the parties, in formulating any plan of discovery, to consider the direction contained in that rule that discovery be proportional.

4.	All pretrial discovery will be commenced in time to be completed by (date).			
5.	Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) shall be due from (name of party) to (name of party) by (date), and from (name of party) to (name of party) by (date).			
6.	(name of party) shall prepare and submit a Pretrial Order not later than (date). Final lists of witnesses and exhibits under Fed. R.Civ. P.26(a)(3) shall be due from both parties by the same date and shall be submitted with the Pretrial Order.			
7.	Any motion for leave to join additional parties or to otherwise amend the pleadings shall be filed by (date). [Note: The deadline shall be set at least 30 days before the proposed pretrial order deadline].			
8.	All dispositive motions shall be filed by(date).			
9.	Settlement <i>[choose one]:</i> [is likely] [is unlikely] [cannot be evaluated prior to [insert date]].			
10.	Do the parties believe Alternative Dispute Resolution might be helpful? Yes/No			
11.	This case can be ready for trial not later than			
	(date) and is expected to take (number of trial days estimated).			
12.	Special issues: [If you are aware of discovery, settlement, or other issues that might dictate how this case should be handled, please address those issues here.]			
13.	Consent to Bankruptcy Court Jurisdiction. If any party believes it is entitled to have any issue decided by an Article III judge, it nevertheless consents to trial and to entry of a final order by the bankruptcy judge.			
	OR			
	(name of party) does not consent and has filed a timely Motion to Transfer (withdraw the reference) pursuant to D. Kan. Rule 83.8.6.			

- 14. The Court is often able to cancel the Scheduling Conference, upon receipt of the Parties' Planning Meeting Report, if the parties are in consensus on the scheduling of the matter. Does any party request the Court conduct a Scheduling Conference in this case (such as, e.g., to discuss discovery disputes, settlement possibilities, or any other relevant matters), notwithstanding the submission of this Report?
 - a. The parties consent to canceling the Scheduling conference OR
 - b. The parties (or party name the party) request(s) the Scheduling Conference be held.

Report should be prepared by counsel for the plaintiff (if Adversary Proceeding) or movant and circulated in time for filing with the Court not later than four working days prior to the previously noticed scheduling conference. The failure of the parties to file this report may result in the summary dismissal of the complaint or contested matter.

Approval signatures of all counsel and of any self-represented party:

(Signature lines shall include all counsels' Supreme Court ID numbers, addresses, phone numbers and business email address).